



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,545	09/11/2006	Ib Helmer Nielsen	PATRADE	2263
James C. Wray 1493 Chain Bridge Road Suite 300 McLean, VA 22101				
EXAMINER				
LEUNG, KA CHUN A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3741				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/31/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/577,545

**Applicant(s)**

NIELSEN, IB HELMER

**Examiner**

Ka Chun Leung

**Art Unit**

3741

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ka Chun Leung.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Wray.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: Winkle (U.S. Patent 5,698,031).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was noted by the Applicant's representative that U.S. 5,698,031 was not cited in the previous PTO-892. The reference was first presented and discussed in the Office Action dated 09/03/2008, however the reference was inadvertently omitted from the Notice of References Cited. The reference in question has now been properly cited in the attached PTO-892.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ka Chun Leung/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3741